



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,711	07/15/2003	Hideki Kobayashi	Q76339	4933
23373	7590 07/19/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			GOMA, TAWFIK A	
SUITE 800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20037		2627	
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/618,711	KOBAYASHI, HIDEKI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tawfik Goma	2627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-4 and 7-8 is/are wi 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 October 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 ☐	or election requirement. er. : a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 25 H.S.C. & 440			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail	oate	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) ☐ Notice of Informal Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muramatsu et al (US 5177729) in view of Sako et al (US 6707784).

Regarding claim 1, Muramatsu discloses an information recording/reproducing apparatus for reproducing comprising: a demodulator for performing a demodulation processing on a read signal read from said recording medium corresponding to each of the modulation schemes to generate a data signal for each demodulation processing (7, fig. 1 and 8 fig. 1); an error corrector for performing error correction processing on each of the data signals to generate a corrected data signal corresponding to each of the data signals (11, 12, fig. 1); and an output part for selectively outputting the corrected data signal corresponding to the data signal having the lowest error ratio among the data signals as a reproduced data (13, fig. 1). Muramatsu fails to disclose wherein the data signal is an address data, and that the address data is modulated using different modulation schemes. In the same field of endeavor, Sako discloses demodulating address data with two different demodulators (91, 92, fig. 13 and col. 14 lines 46-

Art Unit: 2627

67), wherein the demodulators correspond to different modulation schemes of the address data (col. 13 lines 58-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify the recording apparatus disclosed by Muramatsu with the address demodulators that correspond to different modulation schemes as taught by Sako. The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention would have been motivated to reproduce address data in order to properly reproduce the contents of the disc. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to reproduce data with demodulator's that correspond to modulation schemes of the recorded data in order to reproduce discs that contain both data and audio format information such as taught by Sako (figs. 1 and 2).

Regarding claim 2, Muramatsu further discloses an information recording/reproducing apparatus according further comprising: an error detector for performing error detection processing on each of the data signals to generate an error detection result signal including an error ratio of each of said data signals (col. 3 lines 61-66), and information indicating whether or not each of the data signals can be corrected by said error corrector (EP pointer information, col. 3 lines 65-67 thru col. 4 lines 1-7), wherein said an output part includes: a determining part for determining based on the error detection result signal an data signal which is correctable and has the lowest error ratio from said address data signals (col. 4 lines 12-16 and 13, fig. 1); and a selector for selecting a corrected data signal corresponding to the address data signal determined by said determining part from said corrected data signals to output the corrected address data signal selected thereby as the reproduced data (13, fig. 1, fig. 4 and col. 3 lines 66-68 thru col. 8

lines 1-7 and col. 4 lines 12-15). It would have been obvious for the data to be address data as taught by Sako for the same reasons as in claim 1.

Method claims 5 and 6 are drawn to the method of using the corresponding apparatus claimed in claims 1 and 2. Therefore method claims 5 and 6 correspond to apparatus claims 1 and 2 and are rejected for the same reasons of obviousness applied above.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Species B in the reply filed on 5/22/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the claims would not create an undue burden for the examiner. This is not found persuasive because undue burden is shown by Applicant's disclosure of mutually exclusive embodiments of the information recording/reproducing apparatus/method.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 3-4 and 7-8 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 5/22/2006.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tawfik Goma whose telephone number is (571) 272-4206. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,711 Page 5

Art Unit: 2627

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

7. Gómá 7/13/2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER